# **Appendix B**

# **People**

**Modern Working Practices**

**Q1**: To what extent do you agree/disagree that fire and rescue services should have the flexibility to deploy resources to help address current and future threats faced by the public beyond core fire and rescue duties?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree*

**Public Safety**

**Q2**: To what extent do you agree/disagree that fire and rescue services should play an active role in supporting the wider health and public safety agenda?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree*

**Business Continuity**

**Q3**: To what extent do you agree/disagree that the business continuity requirements set out in the Civil Contingencies Act 2004 provide sufficient oversight to keep the public safe in the event of strike action?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree*

**Pay Negotiation**

**Q4**: To what extent do you agree/disagree that the current pay negotiation arrangements are appropriate?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree*

**Q5**: Please provide the reasons for your response

We welcome the opportunity to participate in the independent review proposed by the Government to review the current pay negotiation machinery and consider the implications of any alternative proposals to achieve similar results. There is always an opportunity to learn from best practice in other sectors and improve, however we are supportive of the work of the National Employers and the National Joint Council (NJC). The LGA recognises the importance of stability in the sector which existing arrangements have helped to provide over recent years.

It should also be noted that the NJC is a national body covering all four nations in the UK, rather than just an English body. This must be given due consideration when conducting any independent review of the machinery.

**Nurturing New and Existing Talent**

**Q6**: To what extent do you agree/disagree that consistent entry requirements should be explored for fire and rescue service roles?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree*

**Q7**: Please provide the reasons for your response.

The LGA would wish to ensure that any new entry requirements are proportionate and the implications of raising of entry requirements have been fully considered. We wish to ensure that staff have the skills, talents and values that we need to make them effective firefighters and we would not wish to see people who have the right attributes unable to join the service due changes in entry requirements.

There maybe unintended consequences of an increase in entry requirements on equality, diversity and inclusion in the sector which must be carefully considered. There are also particular concerns around the introduction of different entry requirements for on-call staff. It is well documented that there are issues throughout the country recruiting on-call staff, and we would not wish to see this exacerbated any further.

**Q8**: To what extent do you agree/disagree that other roles, in addition to station and area managers, would benefit from a direct entry and talent management scheme?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree*

# **Professionalism**

**A 21st Century Leadership Offer**

**Q9**: To what extent do you agree/disagree with the proposed introduction of a 21st century leadership programme?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree*

**Q10**: Please provide reasons for your response.

We would wish to ensure that any 21st Century leadership course takes in the whole range of leadership and managerial issues likely to face the fire service going forwards to ensure that it is fit for purpose in preparing future leaders. There needs to be consideration given to how the sector should develop in the future and therefore what skills chief fire officers need as they progress. There are a wide range of issues that could be usefully covered by such a course that would support the development of the sector and its leadership.

We do not feel that this should be mandatory for chief fire officers. This gives no flexibility to local areas for who they might appoint as the most appropriate professional leader for their local area. There may be local circumstances which will play into this decision, based on particular challenges and issues affecting the fire service. We would not wish to see fire and rescue authorities pool of candidates for senior management positions limited.

Existing work on leadership also needs to be considered and built on as part of any leadership programme. Fit for the Future (FfF), an initiative developed in a partnership between the National Employers (England), the Local Government Association (LGA) and the National Fire Chiefs Council (NFCC) sets out a joint picture of the future for all fire and rescue services in England. Leadership, People and Culture is a key theme of FfF and clear ambitions around this have been developed following extensive engagement across fire and rescue authorities (Chairs, Portfolio holders, PFCCs and Deputy Mayors) and within fire and rescue services (CFOs and other senior managers). This includes the development of common approaches and leadership frameworks that set out service values, expectations, and behaviours. Any work around the development of a leadership programme should be informed by and compliment FfF.

**Q11**: To what extent do you agree/disagree that completion of the proposed 21st century leadership programme should be mandatory before becoming an assistant chief fire officer or above?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree*

**Smarter Use of Data**

**Q12**: To what extent do you agree/disagree that each of the activities outlined above are high priorities for helping improve the use and quality of fire and rescue service data?

* A national data analytics capability.
* Data-focused training.
* Consistent approaches to structuring data
* Clear expectations for data governance
* Securing data-sharing agreements.

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree/ Strongly disagree*

**Q13**: What other activities, beyond those listed above, would help improve the use and quality of fire and rescue service data? Please give the reasons for your response.

Accurate data is critical to driving improvements in the way the service is deployed, managed and evaluated. The LGA welcomes initiatives to improve this including the development of a national data analytics capability that will serve the needs of all Fire and Rescue Services.

Across all the areas of improvement identified in Fit for the Future (FfF) there is a need for current accurate data to further support change. This needs to be supported by better use of digital and technology solutions to ensure maximum benefit can be achieved in delivering the service to the public. Using data to better understand the needs of the workforce and to direct resources where they are needed to provide the best development and support to them.

An additional priority should be the replacement of the current Incident Recording System with a modern National Fire Data Collection System (NFDCS) that meets the needs of the service.

It is also important that there is a longer-term plan for investment and development in developing data and digital capabilities that supports better service delivery to the public.

We would also welcome any national efforts to improve data governance and overcome data sharing barriers between partners, particularly with health and police.

**Research**

**Q14**: To what extent do you agree/disagree that each of the activities outlined above are high priorities for improving the use and quality of fire evidence and research?

* Collaborating
* Commissioning
* Conducting
* Collating

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree/ Strongly disagree*

**Q15**: What other activities, beyond those listed above, would help improve the use and quality of evidence and research on fire and other hazards? Please provide the reasons for your responses.

The priorities above set out important ways to improve the use and quality of evidence and research. We would like to see research that provides greater insight into early intervention and prevention, supporting FRSs to target work effectively and identify new and innovative ways to prevent fires and other emergencies.

Additional activities could include learning from recent events and incidents, as well as de-briefs from other industries and sectors and research findings from academia both from the UK and internationally and feedback from HMICFRS.

**Code of Ethics**

**Q16**: To what extent do you agree/disagree with the creation of a statutory code of ethics for services in England?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree/ Strongly disagree*

**Q17**: To what extent do you agree/disagree that placing a code of ethics on a statutory basis would better embed ethical principles in services than the present core code of ethics?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree/ Strongly disagree*

**Q18**: To what extent do you agree/disagree that the duty to ensure services act in accordance with the proposed statutory code should be placed on operationally independent chief fire officers?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree/ Strongly disagree*

**Q19**: To what extent do you agree/disagree with making enforcement of the proposed statutory code an employment matter for chief fire officers to determine within their services?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree/ Strongly disagree*

**Fire and Rescue Service Oath**

**Q20**: To what extent do you agree/disagree with the creation of a fire and rescue service oath for services in England?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree/ Strongly disagree*

**Q21**: Please give the reasons for your response.

We have concerns about the introduction of a mandatory oath for the fire and rescue service. Whilst we support the Government’s drive to ensure that the culture of the service is inclusive and welcoming, underpinned by clear ethical principles, we would say this is best done through work on the Core Code of Ethics, rather than through an Oath. There are particular concerns about whether or not staff who have been the service for a significant period of time would be receptive to the introduction of an Oath, especially if there have never been any concerns regarding their behaviour.

**Q22**: To what extent do you agree/disagree that an Oath would embed the principles of the Code of Ethics amongst fire and rescue authority employees?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree/ Strongly disagree*

**Q23**: To what extent do you agree/disagree with an Oath being mandatory for all employees?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree/ Strongly disagree*

**Q24**: To what extent do you agree/disagree that breach of the fire and rescue service oath should be dealt with as an employment matter?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree/ Strongly disagree*

**Professionalism Summary**

**Q25**: To what extent do you agree/disagree that the five areas listed above are priorities for professionalising fire and rescue services?

* Leadership
* Data
* Research
* Ethics
* Clear Expectations

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree/ Strongly disagree*

**Q26**: What other activities, beyond the five listed above, could help to professionalise fire and rescue services?

The ‘Leadership, people and culture’ theme (Theme 2) in Fit for the Future sets out a range of improvement objectives including competence, attracting, and retaining employees, and inspirational and inclusive leadership. A range of activities to support these objectives have been developed and these should be considered by government as part of any future work on professionalism.

**Independent Strategic Oversight**

**A College of Fire and Rescue**

**Q27:** To what extent do you agree/disagree with the creation of an independent College of Fire and Rescue to lead the professionalisation of fire and rescue services?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree/ Strongly disagree*

**Q28:** Please provide your reasons for your response

The LGA welcomes the Government’s commitment to support the sector through a new College. This should complement and build on the existing work currently happening in this space for example by the NFCC workstreams. The cost of setting up and maintaining an independent body should be fully met by government.

# **Governance**

**Governance Structures**

**Q29**: To what extent do you agree/disagree that Government should transfer responsibility for fire and rescue services in England to a single elected individual?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree/ Strongly disagree*

**Q30**: What factors should be considered when transferring fire governance to a directly elected individual?

Please provide the reasons for your response.

We believe it should be for local areas to decide on how they should be governed, and there should be no forced transfers of governance. We note the Government’s preference for a “single, elected – preferably directly elected – individual who is accountable for the service rather than governance by committee”. This would represent a significant change for the majority of fire and rescue services, most of which are currently managed by combined fire authorities or metropolitan fire authorities.

As with levelling up, real change and improvement within the sector and communities will be more successful if local councils and fire services are empowered to decide what their local governance structure will be, based on the needs of their communities. Therefore, transfers of governance should only take place where there has been local agreement to the transfer.

We welcome that no mandatory transfers of governance have been proposed and local discussions will take place where there is disagreement. In discussions with the local area, local support for any change of governance should be given the principal weighting in making any determination on the future of the service, therefore empowering local decision makers.

This will not only help to ensure that local areas can determine what works best for them and their communities but will make the process less open to challenge if people can be sure that local support has been given the value that it deserves in any assessment process.

The current tests of economy, efficiency, effectiveness and public safety provides clear criteria for any transfer of governance where there is local opposition. There should also be consideration of local performance as a part of any transfer process. It is clear from the inspection process that there are a wide variety of service performances, regardless of governance type. The LGA has also previously suggested that a panel could help to make any assessment of the business case for any contested transfers of governance. This should be given further consideration for the future.

**The Mayoral Model**

**Q31**: Where Mayoral Combined Authorities already exist, to what extent do you agree/disagree that fire and rescue functions should be transferred directly to these MCAs for exercise by the Mayor?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree/ Strongly disagree*

**Police and Crime Commissioners**

**Q32**: To what extent do you agree/disagree that Government should transfer responsibility for fire and rescue services in England to police and crime commissioners?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree/ Strongly disagree*

**Other Options, such as an executive councillor**

**Q33**: Apart from combined authority mayors and police and crime commissioners, is there anyone else who we could transfer fire governance that aligns with the principles set out above?

Yes No

**Q34**: If yes, please explain other options and your reasons for proposing them.

Whilst we do not agree that there should be any transfers of governance where it is not agreed and supported locally, we have considered options for how you might change the current governance structures to meet the criteria set out within the White Paper, depending on discussions locally.

Option 1: Primary legislation could be introduced to create a cabinet/scrutiny model for governance on both metropolitan authorities and combined authorities. Primary legislation would be necessary as the provisions that apply to councils do not currently apply to fire and metropolitan and combined fire and rescue authorities. Introducing this option would enable authorities to organise themselves along the principles set out within the White Paper but would not involve a transfer of governance if that was not what the local area supported.

Option 2: alongside or as an alternative to Option 1 the LGA proposes that metropolitan and combined authorities reorganise themselves to create a small management committee to exercise an authority’s executive functions, which would then be scrutinised and supported by/accountable to the full authority.

Officers’ understanding is that current legislation permits this for metropolitan authorities and for combined authorities whose combination orders allow the appointment of committees or sub-committees. This option could be achieved without the introduction of primary legislation.

**Q35**: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the legal basis for fire and rescue authorities could be strengthened and clarified?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree/ Strongly disagree*

**Q36**: Please provide the reasons for your response

If the Government does undertake a review of the Fire Framework with the intention of strengthening and clarifying the legal basis for fire and recuse authorities the LGA would wish to be included in any discussions that might affect the running of fire and rescue authorities.

**Boundaries**

**Q37**: To what extent do you agree/disagree that boundary changes should be made so that fire and rescue service areas and police force/combined authorities (where present) areas are coterminous?

*Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree nor disagree / Disagree/ Strongly disagree*

**Fire Funding**

**Q38**: To what extent do you agree/disagree with ring-fencing the operational fire budget within fire and rescue services run by county councils and unitary authorities?

*Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree*

**Q39**: Please provide the reasons for your response.

Integration, access to wider council funding pots, and joint working across a county council can have positive benefits to the public and this should also be weighed when making any consideration on changes. Ring fenced budgets would need careful consideration to ensure that there are no unintended outcomes.

**A Balanced Leadership Model**

**Q40**. To what extent do you agree with this proposed approach (as outlined in the table above)?

*Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree*

**Q41**. Do you have any other comments to further support your answer?

We would welcome the opportunity to input further into this as the government seeks to define the balanced leadership model. For instance the proposals around who would be responsible for senior management appointments (beyond the Chief Fire Officer) are a concern. We would wish to ensure that there is still local flexibility and discretion in any leadership model

**Q42**. Are there any factors we should consider when implementing these proposals?

**Q43**: What factors should we consider when giving chief fire officers operational independence?

**Please provide the reasons for your opinions.**

Through appropriate schemes of delegation and constitutional arrangements, operational independence is effectively in place across many fire and rescue services, with local circumstances playing a role in how those schemes work in individual services.

Both the operational and political leadership of the sector have distinct and complementary roles to play. There should be a clear understanding between each of what their respective roles are, however, it should be for local areas to determine how best to resolve issues and work at a local level, based on clear principles of good governance.

There should be a mature level of discussion and challenge to ensure that services are delivering for their communities. Mutual trust and honest communication should characterise the relationship between chief fire officers and their governance structures. Both will bring their own expertise and experiences into discussions on the service, which will ensure that better outcomes are achieved. As democratic representatives of their community FRAs have a duty to ensure that that voice is properly represented in decisions affecting the running of the service, whilst also having due regard for the professional expertise of their chief fire officer.

By working together with clear processes for resolving issues, and discussions over issues such as closing fire stations, staff, crewing levels, etc and their impact on budgets and the estate, this should lead to the right decisions being made at a local level. This is especially important as FRAs are the employer, not the chief fire officer, as well as holding responsibility for the estate and the budget.

There will be times when there will be both strategic and operational elements to a decision. Crewing is a particular example where there are both strategic and operational issues that should be considered – for instance a change to crewing could mean that a station changes from 24-hour crewing to day crewing plus or retained. The impact on the local community must therefore be a fully considered and appropriately represented in any decision making alongside the operational elements of any change.

As the ones who set the strategic direction for the service, there should be consideration made for councillor/governance involvement in a wider range of appointments than just the chief fire officers. This is especially important for the wider strategic management team, who will deliver on the strategic priorities of the FRA, therefore there should be a degree of member involvement in their appointment to ensure that the FRA has confidence in their ability to deliver on their strategic priorities.

We would welcome the opportunity to input further into this as the government seeks to define how the balanced leadership model would work.

**Legal Entity of Chief Fire Officers**

**Q44**: What factors should we consider should we make chief fire officers corporations sole?

We do not believe that chief fire officers should be made corporations sole, especially as operational independence can be achieved more appropriately through other means. The White Paper states that for chief constables corporation sole means that they are a legal entity in their own right, the employers of all those who work for the police force and gives them legal authority over certain decisions and functions. This would represent significant change for the sector, especially as FRAs are the employers, not the chief fire officer.

In particular for county fire services this would cause very significant difficulties in their operation. Many county chief fire officers sit within a wider strategic management team structure within a county council, rather than at chief executive level. In county fire and rescue service there maybe challenges over the employment of staff, the ownership and disposal of the estate, difficulties may also be created for back office functions such as IT, HR and legal advice which are a part of the county council and how these would be paid for. If these issues are to be resolved new legislation would be needed to regulate and resolve these issues in advance of any introduction of corporation sole.

**Clear Distinction Between Strategic and Operational Planning**

**Q45**: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the responsibility for strategic and operational planning should be better distinguished?

*Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree*

**Q46**: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the strategic plan should be the responsibility of the fire and rescue authority?

*Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree*

**Q47**: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the operational plan should be the responsibility of the chief fire officer?

*Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree*

**Q48**: Please provide the reasons for your response.

We wish to ensure that there is a clear line of accountability in any proposed changes. The chief fire officer will need to provide assurance that they are meeting the strategic objectives as set out within any strategic plan, or the IRMP if this stays the same. We know that this separation is in place in Mayoral areas and PFCC areas.